arXiv:1210.6518v1 [math.LO] 20 Oct 2012

A NEW GENERALIZATION OF FUZZY IDEALS IN LA-SEMIGROUPS

S. ABDULLAH, M ATIQUE KHAN, AND M. ASLAM

Abstract. In this article, the concept of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideals and $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideals of an LA-semigroup are introduced. The given concept is a generalization of $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideals and $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideals of an LA-semigroup. We also give some examples of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups (left, right, generalized bi- and bi) ideals of an LA-semigroup. we prove some fundamental results of these ideals. We characterize $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideals and $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideals of an LA-semigroup by the properties of level sets. The given concept is a generalization of $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideals and $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideals of an LA-semigroup. We also give some examples of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups (left, right, generalized bi- and bi) ideals of an LA-semigroup.

1. Introduction

The concept of LA-semigroup was first indroduced by Kazim and Naseerudin [18, 26]. A non-empty set S with binary operation $*$ is said to be an LA-semigroup if identity $(x * y) * z = (z * y) * x$ for all $x, y, z \in S$ holds. Later, Q. Mushtaq and others have been investigated the structure further and added many useful results to theory of LA-semigroups see [20, 21, 22]. Ideals of LA-semigroups were defined by Mushtaq and Khan in his paper [23]. In [16], Khan and Ahmad characterized LA-semigroup by their ideals. L. A. Zadeh introduced the fundamental concept of a fuzzy set [32] in 1965. On the basis of this concept, mathematicians initiated a natural framework for generalizing some basic notions of algebra, e.g group theory, set theory, ring theory, topology, measure theory and semigroup theory etc. The importance of fuzzy technology in information processing is increasing day by day. In granular computing, the information is represented in the form of aggregates, called granules. Fuzzy logic is very useful in modeling the granules as fuzzy sets. Bargeila and Pedrycz considered this new computing methodology in [3]. Pedrycz and Gomide in [27] considered the presentation of update trends in fuzzy set theory and it's applications. Foundations of fuzzy groups are laid by Rosenfeld in [29]. The theory of fuzzy semigroups was initiated by Kuroki in his papers [13, 14]. Recently, Khan and Khan introduced fuzzy ideals in LA-semigroups [17]. In [24] Murali gave the concept of belongingness of a fuzzy point to a fuzzy subset under a natural equivalence on a fuzzy subset. In [28] the idea of quasi-coincidence of a fuzzy

---

Key words and phrases. LA-semigroup, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideals, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-generalized bi-ideals.

point with a fuzzy set is defined. These two ideas played a vital role in generating some different types of fuzzy subgroups. Using these ideas Bhakat and Das [4, 8] gave the concept of (α, β)-fuzzy subgroups, where $\alpha, \beta \in \{\in, q, \in \vee q, \in \wedge q\}$ and $\alpha \neq \in \wedge q$. These fuzzy subgroups are further studied in [6, 5]. The concept of $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy subgroups is a viable generalization of Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroups, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy subrings and ideals are defined In [7], S.K. Bhakat and P. Das introduced the $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy subrings and ideals. Davvaz gave the concept of $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy subnearrings and ideals of a near ring in [10]. Jun and Song initiated the study of (α, β)-fuzzy interior ideals of a semigroup in [12]. In [15] Kazanci and Yamak studied $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideals of a semigroup. In [30] regular semigroups are characterized by the properties of $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy ideals. Aslam et al defined generalized fuzzy Γ-ideals in Γ-LA-semigroups [2]. In [1], Abdullah et al give new generalization of fuzzy normal subgroup and fuzzy coset of groups. Generalizing the idea of the quasi-coincident of a fuzzy point with a fuzzy subset Jun [11] defined $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$-fuzzy subalgebras in BCK/BCI-algebras. In [31], $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$-fuzzy ideals of semigroups are introduced. Further generalizing the concept, $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$, J. Zhan and Y. Yin defined $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideals of near rings [33]. In [25], $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras are introduced.

In this article, the concept of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideals and $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideals of an LA-semigroup are introduced. The given concept is a generalization of $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideals and $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideals of an LA-semigroup. We also give some examples of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups (left, right, generalized bi- and bi) ideals of an LA-semigroup. we prove some fundamental results of these ideals. We characterize $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideals and $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideals of an LA-semigroup by the properties of level sets. We prove that for μ be a fuzzy subset of S. Then, the following hold (i) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideal of S if and only if $\mu_r^\gamma(\neq \phi)$ is an ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$. (ii) If $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$, then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideal of of S if and only if $\mu_r^\delta(\neq \phi)$ is an ideal of S for all $r \in (\delta, 1]$. (iii) If $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$, then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideal of of S if and only if $[\mu]_r^\delta(\neq \phi)$ is an ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, 1]$. (iv) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideal of S if and only if $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ is an ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$. Similarly, we prove that for $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideals, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideals and $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideals of an LA-semigroup.

2. Preliminaries

An LA-subsemigroup of S means a non-empty subset A of S such that $A^2 \subseteq A$. By a left (right) ideals of S we mean a non-empty subset I of S such that $SI \subseteq I(IS \subseteq I)$. An ideal I is said to be two sided or simply ideal if it is both left and right ideal. An LA-subsemigroup A is called bi-ideal if $(BS)\,B \subseteq A$. A non-empty subset B is called generalized bi-ideal if $(BS)\,B \subseteq A$. A non-empty subset Q is called a quasi-ideal if $QS \cap SQ \subseteq Q$. A non-empty subset A is called interior ideal if it is LA-subsemigroup of S and $(SA)\,S \subseteq A$. An LA-semigroup S is called regular if for each $a \in S$ there exists $x \in S$ such that $a = (ax)a$. An LA-semigroup S is called intra-regular if for each $a \in S$ there exist $x, y \in S$ such that $a = (xa^2)y$. In an LA-semigroup S, the following law hold, (1) $(ab)\,c = (ab)\,c$, for all $a, b, c \in S$. (2)

$(ab)(cd) = (ac)(bd)$, for all $a, b, c, d \in S$. If an LA-semigroup S has a left identity e, then the following law holds, (3) $(ab)(cd) = (db)(ca)$, for all $a, b, c, d \in S$. (4) $a(bc) = b(ac)$, for all $a, b, c \in S$.

A fuzzy subset μ of the form

$$\mu(y) = \begin{cases} t(\neq 0) & \text{if } y = x \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x \end{cases}$$

is said to be a fuzzy point with support x and value t and is denoted by x_t.A fuzzy point x_t is said to be "belong to"(res.,"quasicoincident with") a fuzzy set μ, written as $x_t \in \mu$(repectively, $x_t q \mu$) if $\mu(x) \geq t$ (repectively, $\mu(x) + t > 1$). We write $x_t \in \vee q\mu$ if $x_t \in \mu$ or $x_t q\mu$.If $\mu(x) < t$(respectively, $\mu(x) + t \leq 1$), then we write $x_t \overline{\in} \mu$(repectively,$x_t \overline{q} \mu$). We note that $\overline{\in \vee q}$ means that $\in \vee q$ does not hold. Generalizing the concept of $x_t q\mu$, Y. B. Jun [11] defined $x_t q_k \mu$, where $k \in [0, 1]$ as $x_t q_k \mu$ if $\mu(x) + t + \dot{k} > 1$ and $x_t \in \vee q_k \mu$ if $x_t \in \mu$ or $x_t q_k \mu$.

A fuzzy subset μ of S is a function $\mu : S \to [0, 1]$. For any two fuzzy subsets μ and ν of S, $\mu \subseteq \nu$ means $\mu(x) \leq \nu(x)$ for all x in S. The fuzzy subsets $\mu \cap \nu$ and $\mu \cup \nu$ of S are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \cap \nu)(x) &= \min\{\mu(x), \nu(x)\} = \mu(x) \wedge \nu(x) \\ (\mu \cup \nu)(x) &= \max\{\mu(x), \nu(x)\} = \mu(x) \vee \nu(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all x in S. If $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a faimly of fuzzy subsets of S, then $\bigwedge\limits_{i \in I} \mu_i$ and $\bigvee\limits_{i \in I} \mu_i$ are fuzzy subsets of S defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \mu_i\right)(x) &= \min\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I} \\ \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \mu_i\right)(x) &= \max\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I} \end{aligned}$$

For any two subsets μ and ν of S, the product $\mu \circ \nu$ is defined as

$$(\mu \circ \nu)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee\limits_{x = yz} \{\mu(y) \wedge \nu(z), \text{ if there exist } y, z \in S, \text{ such that } x = yz \\ 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. [17] *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is called fuzzy LA-subsemigroup S if $\mu(xy) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.*

Definition 2. [17] *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is called fuzzy left(right) ideal of S if $\mu(xy) \geq \mu(y)(\mu(xy) \geq \mu(x))$ for all $x, y \in S$.*

Definition 3. [17] *An LA-subsemigroup μ of an LA-semigroup S is called fuzzy bi-ideal of S if $\mu((xy)z) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(z)$ for all $x, y \in S$.*

Definition 4. [17] *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is called fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of S if $\mu((xy)z) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(z)$ for all $x, y \in S$.*

Definition 5. [17]*Let μ be a fuzzy subset of an LA-semigroup S, then for all $t \in$* $(0, 1]$, *the set $\mu_t = \{x \in S \,|\mu(x) \geq t\}$ is called a level subset of S.*

3. $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-FUZZY IDEALS

Generalizing the notion of $(\in, \in \vee q)$, in [25, 33] $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideals of near rings and BCI-algebras are introduced. Let $\gamma, \delta \in [0,1]$ be such that $\gamma < \delta$. For fuzzy point x_t and fuzzy subset μ of S, we say

(i) $x_t \in_\gamma \mu$ if $\mu(x) \geq t > \gamma$.
(ii) $x_t q_\delta \mu$ if $\mu(x) + t > 2\delta$.
(iii) $x_t \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$ if $x_t \in_\gamma \mu$ or $x_t q_\delta \mu$.
(iv) $x_t \overline{\in}_\gamma \vee \overline{q}_\delta \mu$ if $x_t \overline{\in}_\gamma \mu$ or $x_t \overline{q}_\delta \mu$.

In this section, we introduce the concept of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideal, $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal and $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of an LA-semigroup S. We also study some basic properties of these ideals.

Definition 6. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is called an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if for all $a, b \in S$ and $t, r \in (\gamma, 1]$, $x_t, y_r \in_\gamma \mu$ implies that $(ab)_{t \wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$.*

Remark 1. *Every fuzzy LA-subsemigroup and every $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup but the converse is not true.*

Example 1. *Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be an LA -semigroup with the multiplication defined by the following Caley table*

$*$	1	2	3	4
1	4	3	3	3
2	3	3	4	4
3	3	3	3	3
4	3	3	3	3

Define fuzzy subset μ of S by
$\mu(1) = 0.6$, $\mu(2) = 0.9$, $\mu(3) = 0.7$, $\mu(4) = 0.3$.
Then,
(i) *μ is an $(\in_{0.2}, \in_{0.2} \vee q_{0.3})$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup.*
(ii) *μ is not an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup because $2_{0.6}, 3_{0.6} \in \mu$, but $(2 * 3)_{0.6} \overline{\in \vee q} \mu$.*
(iii) *μ is not fuzzy LA-subsemigroup.*

The next theorems provide the relationship between $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups of S and crisp LA-subsemigroups.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a non-empty subset of S. Then, A is an LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if the fuzzy subset μ of S defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.

Proof. Let A be an LA-subsemigroup of S and $a, b \in S$ and $t, r \in (\gamma, 1]$, such that $a_t, b_r \in_\gamma \mu$. Then, $\mu(a) \geq t > \gamma$ and $\mu(b) \geq r > \gamma$. Thus, $\mu(a) \geq \delta$ and $\mu(b) \geq \delta$. Hence, $a, b \in A$. Since A is an LA-subsemigroup of S, so we have $ab \in A$, which implies that $\mu(ab) \geq \delta$. If $t \wedge r \leq \delta$, then $\mu(ab) \geq \delta \geq t \wedge r > \gamma$ and so $(ab)_{t \wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$. If $t \wedge r > \delta$ then, $\mu(ab) + t \wedge r > \mu(ab) + \delta > \delta + \delta$, which implies that $\mu(ab) + t \wedge r > 2\delta$ and so $(ab)_{t\wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$. Hence, μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.

Conversely, assume that μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S. Let $a, b \in A$, then $\mu(a) \geq \delta$ and $\mu(b) \geq \delta$. By hypothesis, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$, which implies that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \delta \wedge \delta \wedge \delta = \delta$, that is $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \delta$. Since $\gamma < \delta$, therefore $\mu(ab) \geq \delta$. Hence, $ab \in A$. Thus, A is an LA-subsemigroup of S. □

Corollary 1. *Let A be a non-empty subset of an LA-semigroup S. Then, A is an LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if χ_A, the characteristic function of A is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.*

Theorem 2. *Let $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$ and A be an LA-subsemigroup of S. Then, the fuzzy subset μ of S defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(q_\delta, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.

Proof. Let $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$ and A be an LA-subsemigroup of S. Let $a, b \in S$ and $t, r \in (\gamma, 1]$, such that $a_t, b_r q_\delta \mu$, then $\mu(a) + t > 2\delta$ and $\mu(b) + r > 2\delta$. This implies that $\mu(a) > 2\delta - t \geq 2\delta - 1 = \gamma$ and $\mu(b) > 2\delta - r \geq 2\delta - 1 = \gamma$, thus $ab \in A$, which implies that $\mu(ab) \geq \delta$. If $t \wedge r \leq \delta$, then $\mu(ab) \geq \delta \geq t \wedge r > \gamma$ and so $(ab)_{t\wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$. If $t \wedge r > \delta$, then $\mu(ab) + t \wedge r > \mu(ab) + \delta > \delta + \delta$, which implies that $\mu(ab) + t \wedge r > 2\delta$ and so $(ab)_{t\wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$. Hence, μ is an $(q_\delta, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S. □

Theorem 3. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$ for all $a, b \in S$.*

Proof. Let μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S. To show that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$, for all $a, b \in S$, we discuss the following two cases:
(a) $\mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \leq \delta$.
(b) $\mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) > \delta$.

Case(a): If there exist $a, b \in S$ such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Then, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < t < \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b)$, which implies that $a_t \in_\gamma \mu$, $b_t \in_\gamma \mu$ but $(ab)_t \overline{\in_\gamma \vee q_\delta} \mu$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. for all $a, b \in S$. Case(b): If there exist $a, b \in S$ such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Then, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < t < \delta$ and so $a_\delta \in_\gamma \mu$, $b_\delta \in_\gamma \mu$ but $(ab)_\delta \overline{\in_\gamma \vee q_\delta} \mu$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$ for all $a, b \in S$.

Conversely, assume that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$ for all $a, b \in S$. We are to show that μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S. For this let $a_t, b_r \in_\gamma \mu$. Then, $\mu(a) \geq t > \gamma$ and $\mu(b) \geq r > \gamma$. Now by hypothesis, we have $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta \geq t \wedge r \wedge \delta$. If $t \wedge r \geq \delta$, then $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \delta > \gamma$ and so $\mu(ab) > \gamma$, that is $\mu(ab) + t \wedge r > 2\delta$. Hence, $(ab)_{t\wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$. If $t \wedge r < \delta$, then $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq t \wedge r$ and so $\mu(ab) \geq t \wedge r > \gamma$. Hence, $(ab)_{t\wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$. □

Remark 2. *For any $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup μ of S, we can conclude*
(i) If $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = 1$, then μ is a fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.
(ii) If $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = 0.5$, then μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$- fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.

Theorem 4. *Let μ be a fuzzy subset of S. Then,*

(i) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if $\mu_r^\gamma (\neq \phi)$ is an LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

(ii) If $2\delta = 1+\gamma$, then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if $\mu_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is an LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r \in (\delta, 1]$.

(iii) If $2\delta = 1+\gamma$, then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if $[\mu]_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is an LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r \in (\gamma, 1]$.

(iv) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ is an LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

Proof. (i) Let μ be an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroupof S and $a, b \in \mu_r^\gamma$, for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$. Then, $a_r, b_r \in_\gamma \mu$, that is $\mu(a) \geq r > \gamma$ and $\mu(b) \geq r > \gamma$. By hypothesis, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$, which implies that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq r \wedge r \wedge \delta = r \wedge \delta$. Since $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$, so $r \leq \delta$. Thus, $\mu(ab) \geq r > \gamma$, which implies that $ab \in \mu_r^\gamma$. Hence, μ_r^γ is LA-subsemigroup of S.

Conversely, assume that $\mu_r^\gamma (\neq \phi)$ is an LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$. Let $a, b \in S$, such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Select $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$, such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < r \leq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Then, $a_r, b_r \in_\gamma \mu$ but $(ab)_r \overline{\in_\gamma \vee q_\delta} \mu$. Which is a contradiction. Thus, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Hence, μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.

(ii) Let μ be an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S and $a, b \in \mu_r^\delta$ for all $r \in (\delta, 1]$. Then, $a_r, b_r q_\delta \mu$, that is $\mu(a) + r > 2\delta$ and $\mu(b) + r > 2\delta$. Now, $\mu(a) + r > 2\delta$ implies that $\mu(a) > 2\delta - r \geq 2\delta - 1 = \gamma$. Similarly, $\mu(b) > \gamma$. By hypothesis, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$, so we have $\mu(ab) \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta > (2\delta - r) \wedge (2\delta - r) \wedge \delta$. Since $r \in (\delta, 1]$. So, $r > \delta$ which implies that $2\delta - r \leq \delta$. Thus, $\mu(ab) > 2\delta - r$ or $\mu(ab) + r > 2\delta$. Thus, $ab \in \mu_r^\delta$. Hence, μ_r^δ is LA-subsemigroup of S.

Conversely, assume that $\mu_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is an LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r \in (\delta, 1]$. Let $a, b \in S$ such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Select $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$ such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < r \leq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Then, $a_r, b_r \in_\gamma \mu$ but $(ab)_r \overline{\in_\gamma \vee q_\delta} \mu$. Which is a contradiction. Thus, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Hence, μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.

(iii) Let μ be an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S and $a, b \in [\mu]_r^\delta$, for all $r \in (\gamma, 1]$. Then, $a_r, b_r \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$, that is $\mu(a) \geq r > \gamma$ or $\mu(a) + r > 2\delta$ which implies that $\mu(a) \geq r > \gamma$ or $\mu(a) > 2\delta - r \geq 2\delta - 1 = \gamma$. Similarly, $\mu(b) \geq r > \gamma$ or $\mu(b) > 2\delta - r \geq 2\delta - 1 = \gamma$. By hypothesis, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$ which implies that $\mu(ab) \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Case(i): If $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$, then $r \leq \delta$. This implies that $2\delta - r \geq \delta \geq r$. Thus, $\mu(ab) > r \wedge r \wedge \delta = r > \gamma$ or $\mu(ab) > r \wedge (2\delta - r) \wedge \delta = r > \gamma$ or $\mu(ab) > (2\delta - r) \wedge (2\delta - r) \wedge \delta = \delta \geq r > \gamma$. Hence, $ab \in \mu_r^\delta$. Case(ii): If $r \in (\delta, 1]$, then $r > \delta$ which implies that $2\delta - r < \delta < r$, and so $\mu(ab) > r \wedge r \wedge \delta = \delta > 2\delta - r$ or $\mu(ab) > r \wedge 2\delta - r \wedge \delta = 2\delta - r$ or $\mu(ab) > (2\delta - r) \wedge (2\delta - r) \wedge \delta = 2\delta - r$. Thus, $ab \in \mu_r^\delta$. Hence, μ_r^δ is LA-subsemigroup of S. Conversely, assume that $\mu_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r \in (\delta, 1]$. Let $a, b \in S$, such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Select $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$ such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < r \leq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Then, $a_r \in_\gamma \mu$, and $b_r \in_\gamma \mu$ but $(ab)_r \overline{\in_\gamma \vee q_\delta} \mu$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Hence, μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S.

(iv) Let μ be an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S and $a, b \in U(\mu; r)$ for some $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$. Then $\mu(a) \geq r$ and $\mu(b) \geq r$. Since μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S, we have $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta \geq r \wedge \delta = r$, which implies that $\mu(ab) \geq r$ (because $r > \gamma$). Thus $ab \in U(\mu; r)$. Hence $U(\mu; r)$ is an LA-subsemigroup of S.

Conversely, assume that $U(\mu; r)$ is an LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$. Suppose that ther exist $a, b \in S$ such that $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma < \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$. Select r

$\in (\gamma,\delta]$ such that $\mu(ab)\vee\gamma < r \leq \mu(a)\wedge\mu(b)\wedge\delta$. Thus $a\in U(\mu;r)$ and $b\in U(\mu;r)$ but $ab\notin U(\mu;r)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mu(ab)\vee\gamma \geq \mu(a)\wedge\mu(b)\wedge\delta$ and so μ is an $(\in_\gamma,\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S. □

If we take $\gamma=0$ and $\delta=0.5$ in above theorem, we can conclude the following results.

Corollary 2. *Let μ be a fuzzy set of S. Then,*

(i) μ is an $(\in,\in\vee q)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if $\mu_r(\neq\phi)$ LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r\in(0,0.5]$.

(ii) μ is an $(\in,\in\vee q)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if $Q(\mu;r)(\neq\Phi)$ LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r\in(0.5,1]$.

(iii) μ is an $(\in,\in\vee q)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S if and only if $[\mu]_r(\neq\Phi)$ LA-subsemigroup of S for all $r\in(0,1]$.

Lemma 1. *The intersection of any faimly of $(\in_\gamma,\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups is an $(\in_\gamma,\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup .*

Proof. Let $\{\mu_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a faimly of $(\in_\gamma,\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups of S and $x,y\in S$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}((\bigwedge_{i\in I}\mu_i)(xy))\vee\gamma &= (\bigwedge_{i\in I}\mu_i(xy))\vee\gamma\\ &= (\bigwedge_{i\in I}((\mu_i(xy))\vee\gamma))\\ &\geq (\bigwedge_{i\in I}\{\mu_i(x)\wedge\mu_i(y)\wedge\delta\})\\ &= (\bigwedge_{i\in I}\mu_i(x))\wedge(\bigwedge_{i\in I}\mu_i(y))\wedge\delta\\ &= (\bigwedge_{i\in I}\mu_i)(x))\wedge(\bigwedge_{i\in I}\mu_i)(y))\wedge\delta.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\bigwedge_{i\in I}\mu_i$ is an $(\in_\gamma,\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroups of S. □

Definition 7. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is called an $(\in_\gamma,\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideal of S if for all $a,s\in S$ and $t\in(\gamma,1]$, $a_t\in_\gamma\mu$ implies that $(sa)_t\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta\mu$ $((as)_t\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta\mu)$.*

Remark 3. *Every fuzzy left(right) ideal and every $(\in,\in\vee q)$-fuzzy left(right) ideal is an $(\in_\gamma,\in_\gamma\vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideal but the converse is not true.*

Example 2. *Let $S=\{1,2,3,4\}$ be an LA-semigroup with the following multiplication table.*

$*$	1	2	3	4
1	2	4	3	2
2	4	4	4	4
3	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4

Define fuzzy subset μ of S by
$\mu(1)=0.6=\mu(2)$, $\mu(3)=0.4=\mu(4)$.
Then,

(i) μ is an $(\in_{0.2},\in_{0.2}\vee q_{0.4})$-fuzzy left ideal of S.

(ii) μ is not an $(\in,\in\vee q)$ fuzzy ideal of S. Because $1_{0.6}\in\mu$ and $2_{0.6}\in\mu$ but $(1*2)_{0.6}\overline{\in\vee q}\mu$

(iii) μ *is not fuzzy ideal of* S.

Remark 4. *For any* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal* μ *of* S *we can conclude*

(i) if $\gamma = 0$ *and* $\delta = 1$, *then* μ *is fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S.

(ii) if $\gamma = 0$ *and* $\delta = 0.5$ *then* μ *is an* $(\in, \in \vee q)$*- fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S.

Theorem 5. *Let* A *be a non-empty subset of* S. *Then,* A *is a left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if the fuzzy subset* μ *of* S *defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4. □

Corollary 3. *Let* A *be a non-empty subset of an LA-semigroup* S. *Then,* A *is a left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if* χ_A, *the characteristic function of* A *is an* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S.

Theorem 6. *Let* $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$ *and* A *be a left(right) ideal of* S. *Then, the fuzzy subset* μ *of* S *defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(q_\delta, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5. □

Theorem 7. *A fuzzy subset* μ *of an LA-semigroup* S *is an* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*- fuzzy left(right) ideal of an LA-semigroup* S *if and only if* $\mu(sa) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \delta$ $(\mu(as) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \delta)$ *for all* $a, s \in S$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6. □

Theorem 8. *Let* μ *be a fuzzy subset of* S . *Then,*

(i) μ *is an* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if* $\mu_r^\gamma (\neq \phi)$ *is left(right) ideal of* S *for all* $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

(ii) If $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$. *Then,* μ *is an* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if* $\mu_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ *is left(right) ideal of* S *for all* $r \in (\delta, 1]$.

(iii) If $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$. *Then,* μ *is an* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if* $[\mu]_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ *is left(right) ideal of* S *for all* $r \in (\gamma, 1]$.

(iv) μ *is an* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if* $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ *is a left(right) ideal of* S *for all* $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 7. □

Corollary 4. *Let* μ *be a fuzzy set of* S. *Then,*

(i) μ *is an* $(\in, \in \vee q)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if* $\mu_r (\neq \phi)$ *left(right) ideal of* S *for all* $r \in (0, 0.5]$.

(ii) μ *is an* $(\in, \in \vee q)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if* $Q(\mu; r)(\neq \Phi)$ *left(right) ideal of* S *for all* $r \in (0.5, 1]$.

(iii) μ *is an* $(\in, \in \vee q)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal of* S *if and only if* $[\mu]_r (\neq \Phi)$ *left(right) ideal of* S*for all* $r \in (0, 1]$.

Theorem 9. *The intersection of any faimly of* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideals is an* $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$*-fuzzy left(right) ideal.*

Proof. Let $\{\mu_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a faimly of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left ideals of S and $a, s \in S$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\bigwedge_{i\in I} \mu_i)(sa)) \vee \gamma &= (\bigwedge_{i\in I} \mu_i(sa)) \vee \gamma \\ &= (\bigwedge_{i\in I} ((\mu_i(sa)) \vee \gamma)) \\ &\geq (\bigwedge_{i\in I} \{\mu_i(a) \wedge \delta\}) \\ &= (\bigwedge_{i\in I} \mu_i(a)) \wedge \delta \\ &= (\bigwedge_{i\in I} \mu_i)(x) \wedge \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\bigwedge_{i\in I} \mu_i$ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left ideal of S. (Similarly, we can prove for right ideals). □

Lemma 2. *The union of any faimly of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideals .*

Proof. Let $\{\mu_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a faimly of $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left ideals of S and $s, a \in S$. Then, $\left(\bigvee_{i\in I} \mu_i\right)(sa) = \bigvee_{i\in I} (\mu_i(ab))$. Since each μ_i is fuzzy left ideals of S, so $\mu_i(sa) \vee \gamma \geq \mu_i(a) \wedge \delta$, for all $i \in I$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigvee_{i\in I} \mu_i\right)(sa) \vee \gamma &= \bigvee_{i\in I} (\mu_i(sa) \vee \gamma) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{i\in I} (\mu_i(a) \wedge \delta) \\ &= \left(\bigvee_{i\in I} \mu_i(a)\right) \wedge \delta \\ &= \left(\bigvee_{i\in I} \mu_i\right)(a) \wedge \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\bigvee_{i\in I} \mu_i$ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left ideal of S. (Similarly, we can prve for right ideals). □

Definition 8. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is called an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi- ideal if for all $a, b, s \in S$ and $t, r \in (\gamma, 1]$, $a_t \in_\gamma \mu, b_r \in_r \mu$ implies that $((as)\, b)_{t\wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$.*

Remark 5. *Every fuzzy generalized bi-ideal and every $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalized bi- ideal is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal but the converse is not true.*

Example 3.

$*$	1	2	3	4
1	3	2	3	2
2	2	2	2	2
3	2	2	2	2
4	2	2	2	2

'Define a fuzzy subset μ of S by

$\mu(1) = 0.4$, $\mu(2) = 0.5$, $\mu(3) = 0.35$ and $\mu(4) = 0$.
Then,
(i) μ is an $(\in_{0.3}, \in_{0.3} \vee q_{0.35})$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal.
(ii) μ is not an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal because $1_{0.4} \in \mu$, but $((1*3)*1)_{0.6} \overline{\in \vee q}\mu$.
(iii) μ is not fuzzy generalized bi-ideal.

Theorem 10. *Let A be a non-empty subset of S. Then, A is a generalized bi-ideal of S if and only if the fuzzy subset μ of S defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4. □

Corollary 5. *Let A be a non-empty subset of an LA-semigroup S. Then, A is a generalized bi-ideal of S if and only if χ_A, the characteristic function of A is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of S.*

Theorem 11. *Let $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$ and A be an generalized bi-ideal of S. Then, the fuzzy subset μ of S defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(q_\delta, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5. □

Theorem 12. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalised bi-ideal of S if and only if for all $a, b, s \in S$ and $t, r \in (\gamma, 1]$, $\mu((as)b) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$.*

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6. □

Remark 6. *For any $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$- fuzzy generalized bi-ideal μ of S we can conclude*
(i)if $\gamma = 0$, $\delta = 1$, then μ is fuzzy generalized bi-idealof S.
(ii)if $\gamma = 0$, $\delta = 0.5$, then μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of S.

Theorem 13. *Let μ be a fuzzy subset of S. Then,*

(i) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalised bi-ideal of S if and only if $\mu_r^\gamma (\neq \phi)$ is generalised bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

(ii) If $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$, then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalised bi-ideal of of S if and only if $\mu_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is generalised bi-ideal of of S for all $r \in (\delta, 1]$.

(iii) If $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$, then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalised bi-ideal of of S if and only if $[\mu]_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is generalised bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, 1]$.

(iv) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of S if and only if $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ is a generalized bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 7. □

If we take $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = 0.5$ in above theorem, we can conclude the following results

Corollary 6. *Let μ be a fuzzy set of S. Then,*

(i) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalised bi-ideal of S if and only if $\mu_r (\neq \phi)$ is a generalised bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (0, 0.5]$.

(ii) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalised bi-ideal of S if and only if $Q(\mu; r)(\neq \Phi)$ is a generalised bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (0.5, 1]$.

(iii) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalised bi-ideal of S if and only if $[\mu]_r(\neq \Phi)$ is a generalised bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (0, 1]$.

(iv) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy generalized bi-ideal of S if and only if $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ is a generalized bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (0, 0.5]$.

Definition 9. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is called an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$ fuzzy bi- ideal if for all $a, b, s \in S$ and $t, r \in (\gamma, 1]$ $a_t \in_\gamma \mu$, $b_r \in_r \mu$ implies that*

(i) $(ab)_{t \wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$.

(ii) $((as)\,b)_{t \wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$.

Remark 7. *Every fuzzy bi- ideal and every $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi- ideal is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi- ideal but the converse is not true.*

Example 4.

$*$	1	2	3	4
1	3	2	3	2
2	2	2	2	2
3	2	2	2	2
4	2	2	2	2

'Define fuzzy subset μ of S by

$\mu(1) = 0.4, \mu(2) = 0.5, \mu(3) = 0.35, \mu(4) = 0.$

Then,

(i) μ is an $(\in_{0.3}, \in_{0.3} \vee q_{0.35})$-fuzzy bi-ideal

(ii) μ is not an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideal because $1_{0.4} \in \mu$, but $((1 * 3) * 1)_{0.6} \overline{\in \vee q} \mu$

(iii) μ is not fuzzy bi-ideal

Theorem 14. *Let A be a non-empty subset of S. Then, A is a bi-ideal of S if and only if the fuzzy subset μ of S defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4. □

Corollary 7. *Let A be a non-empty subset of an LA-semigroup S. Then, A is a bi-ideal of S if and only if χ_A, the characteristic function of A is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S.*

Theorem 15. *Let $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$ and A be a bi-ideal of S. Then, the fuzzy subset μ of S defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(q_\delta, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5. □

Theorem 16. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if for all $a, b, s \in S$ and $t, r \in (\gamma, 1]$*

(i) $\mu\,(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu\,(a) \wedge \mu\,(b) \wedge \delta$

(ii) $\mu\,((as)\,b) \vee \gamma \geq \mu\,(a) \wedge \mu\,(b) \wedge \delta.$

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6. □

Remark 8. *For any $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$- fuzzy bi-ideal μ of S we can conclude*

(i) if $\gamma = 0, \delta = 1$, then μ is fuzzy bi-idealof S.

(ii) if $\gamma = 0, \delta = 0.5$, then μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Theorem 17. *Let μ be a fuzzy subset of S. Then,*

(i) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if $\mu_r^\gamma (\neq \phi)$ is bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

(ii) If $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$. Then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of of S if and only if $\mu_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is bi-ideal of of S for all $r \in (\delta, 1]$.

(iii) If $2\delta = 1 + \gamma$. Then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of of S if and only if $[\mu]_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, 1]$.

(iv) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ is a bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 7. □

If we take $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = 0.5$ in above theorem, we can conclude the following results

Corollary 8. *Let μ be a fuzzy set of S.Then*

(i) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if $\mu_r (\neq \phi)$ is bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (0, 0.5]$.

(ii) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if $Q(\mu; r)(\neq \Phi)$ is bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (0.5, 1]$.

(iii) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if $[\mu]_r (\neq \Phi)$ is bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (0, 1]$.

(iv) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S if and only if $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ is a bi-ideal of S for all $r \in (0, 0.5]$.

Definition 10. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup c is called an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of c if for all $a, b, c \in S$ and $t, r \in (\gamma, 1]$, the following conditions hold:*

(i) $a_t, b_r \in_\gamma \mu$ *implies that* $(ab)_{t \wedge r} \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$.

(ii) $c_t \in_\gamma \mu$ *implies that* $((ac)\,b)_t \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta \mu$.

Theorem 18. *Let A be a non empty subset of S. Then, A is a interior ideal of S if and only if the fuzzy subset μ of S defined by*

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } x \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4. □

Corollary 9. *Let A be a non-empty subset of S. Then, A is a interior ideal of S if and only if χ_A, the characteristic function of A is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S.*

Theorem 19. *Let $2\delta = 1+\gamma$ and A be a bi-ideal of S. Then, the fuzzy subset μ of S defined by*

$$\mu(a) = \begin{cases} \geq \delta \text{ if } a \in A \\ \leq \gamma \text{ if } a \notin A \end{cases}$$

is an $(q_\delta, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5. □

Theorem 20. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S if and only if for all $a, b, c \in S$,*

(i) $\mu(ab) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta$.

(ii) $\mu((ac)\, b) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(c) \wedge \delta$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6. □

Theorem 21. *Let μ be a fuzzy subset of S. Then,*

(i) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S if and only if $\mu_r^\gamma (\neq \phi)$ is a interior ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, \delta]$.

(ii) If $2\delta = 1+\gamma$, then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S if and only if $\mu_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is interior ideal of S for all $r \in (\delta, 1]$.

(iii) If $2\delta = 1+\gamma$, then μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S if and only if $[\mu]_r^\delta (\neq \phi)$ is interior ideal of S for all $r \in (\gamma, 1]$.

(iv) (iv) μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S if and only if $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ is a interior ideal of S for all $r \in (r, \delta]$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of theorem 7. □

If we take $\gamma = 0$ and $\delta = 0.5$ in above theorem, we can conclude the following results

Corollary 10. *Let μ be a fuzzy set of c. Then,*

(i) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S, if and only if $\mu_r(\neq \phi)$ interior ideal of S, for all $r \in (0, 0.5]$.

(ii) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S if and only if $Q(\mu; r)(\neq \Phi)$ interior ideal of S, for all $r \in (0.5, 1]$.

(iii) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S if and only if $[\mu]_r(\neq \Phi)$ interior ideal of S,for all $r \in (0, 1]$.

(iv) μ is an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S if and only if $U(\mu; r)(\neq \phi)$ is a interior ideal of S for all $r \in (0, 0.5]$.

Theorem 22. *Every $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideal of an LA-semigroup is S is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S.*

Proof. Let μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy ideal of S. Then,

$$\mu(xy) \vee \gamma \geq \mu(x) \wedge \delta \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y) \wedge \delta.$$

Thus, μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy LA-subsemigroup of S. Also, for all $x, a, y \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((xa)\, y) \vee \gamma &= (\mu((xa)\, y) \vee \gamma) \vee \gamma \geq (\mu(xa) \wedge \delta) \vee \gamma \\ &= (\mu(xa) \vee \gamma) \wedge \delta \geq \mu(a) \wedge \delta \wedge \delta = \mu(a) \wedge \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy interior ideal of S. □

Definition 11. *A fuzzy subset μ of an LA-semigroup S is called an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S, if it satisfies,*
$\mu(x) \vee \gamma \geq (\mu \circ 1)(x) \wedge (1 \circ \mu)(x) \wedge \delta$,
where 1 denotes the fuzzy subset of S mapping every element of S on 1.

Theorem 23. *Let μ be an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S, then the set $\mu_\gamma = \{a \in S \mid \mu(a) > \gamma\}$ is a quasi-ideal of S.*

Proof. To prove the required result, we need to show that $S\mu_\gamma \cap \mu_\gamma S \subseteq \mu_\gamma$. Let $x \in S\mu_\gamma \cap \mu_\gamma S$. Then, $x \in S\mu_\gamma$ and $x \in \mu_\gamma S$. So, $x = sa$ and $x = bt$ for some $a, b \in \mu_\gamma$ and $s, t \in S$. Thus, $\mu(a) > \gamma$ and $\mu(b) > \gamma$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \circ \mu)(x) &= \bigvee_{x=yz} \{1(y) \wedge \mu(z)\} \\ &\geq \{1(s) \wedge \mu(a)\}, \text{ because } x = sa \\ &= \mu(a).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(\mu \circ 1)(x) \geq \mu(b)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x) \vee \gamma &\geq (\mu \circ 1)(x) \wedge (1 \circ \mu)(x) \wedge \delta \\ &\geq \mu(a) \wedge \mu(b) \wedge \delta \\ &> \gamma \text{ because } \mu(a) > \gamma,\ \mu(b) > \gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Which implies that $\mu(x) > \gamma$. Thus, $x \in \mu_\gamma$. Hence, μ_γ is a quasi-ideal of S. □

Remark 9. *Every fuzzy quasi-ideal and $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S but the converse is not true.*

Example 5. *If we consider the LA-semigroup given in example 4. Then, the fuzzy subset μ defined by $\mu(1) = 0$, $\mu(2) = 0.2$, $\mu(3) = 0.3$, $\mu(4) = 0$ is*
(i) an $(\in_{0.1}, \in_{0.1} \vee q_{0.2})$-fuzzy quasi-ideal.
(ii) not an $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal. Indeed $(\mu \circ 1)(2) = 0.3 = (1 \circ \mu)(2)$ but $\mu(2) = 0.2 \ngeq (\mu \circ 1)(2) \wedge (1 \circ \mu)(2) \wedge 0.5$.
(iii) not fuzzy quasi-ideal. Because $\mu(2) = 0.2 \ngeq (\mu \circ 1)(2) \wedge (1 \circ \mu)(2) = 0.3$.

Lemma 3. *A non-empty subset Q of an LA-semigroup S is a quasi-ideal of S if and only if the characteristic function χ_Q of Q is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S.*

Proof. Suppose that Q is a quasi-ideal of S and χ_Q is the characteristic function of Q. If $x \notin Q$, then $x \notin SQ$ or $x \notin QS$. Thus, $(1 \circ \chi_Q)(x) = 0$ or $(\chi_Q \circ 1)(x) = 0$. So, $(1 \circ \chi_Q)(x) \wedge (\chi_Q \circ 1)(x) \wedge \delta = 0 \leq \chi_Q(x) \vee \gamma$. If $x \in Q$, then $\chi_Q(x) \vee \gamma = 1 \vee \gamma = 1 \geq (1 \circ \chi_Q)(x) \wedge (\chi_Q \circ 1)(x) \wedge \delta$. Thus, χ_Q is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S. Conversely, assume that χ_Q is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S. Let $x \in QS \cap SQ$, then there exists $s, t \in S$ and $a, b \in Q$, such that, $x = as$ and $x = tb$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}(\chi_Q \circ 1)(x) &= \bigvee_{x=yz} \{\chi_Q(y) \wedge 1(z)\} \\ &\geq \{\chi_Q(a) \wedge 1(s)\} \text{ because } x = as \\ &= 1 \wedge 1 \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

So, $\left(\chi_Q \circ 1\right)(x) = 1$. Similarly, $\left(1 \circ \chi_Q\right)(x) = 1$. Hence, $\left(\chi_Q\right)(x) \vee \gamma \geq \left(1 \circ \chi_Q\right)(x) \wedge \left(\chi_Q \circ 1\right)(x) \wedge \delta = 1 \wedge 1 \wedge \delta = \delta$. Thus, $\left(\chi_Q\right)(x) \vee \gamma \geq \delta$. This implies that $\left(\chi_Q\right)(x) \geq \delta$ because $\gamma < \delta$. So, $\left(\chi_Q\right)(x) = 1$. Hence, $x \in Q$. Thus, $QS \cap SQ \subseteq Q$. Hence, Q is quasi-ideal of S. □

Theorem 24. *Every $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy left(right) ideal μ of S is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S.*

Proof. Let $a \in S$, then

$$(1 \circ \mu)(a) = \bigvee_{a=xy} \{1(x) \wedge \mu(y)\} = \bigvee_{a=xy} \mu(y).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \circ \mu)(a) \wedge \delta &= \left(\bigvee_{a=xy} \mu(y)\right) \wedge \delta \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} \{\mu(y) \wedge \delta\} \\ &\leq \bigvee_{a=xy} \{\mu(xy) \vee \gamma\} \text{ (because } \mu \text{ is an } (\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)\text{-fuzzy left ideal of } S.) \\ &= \mu(a) \vee \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(1 \circ \mu)(a) \wedge \delta \leq \mu(a) \vee \gamma$. Hence, $\mu(a) \vee \gamma \geq (1 \circ \mu)(a) \wedge \delta \geq (\mu \circ 1)(a) \wedge (1 \circ \mu)(a) \wedge \delta$. Thus, μ is an $(\in_\gamma, \in_\gamma \vee q_\delta)$-fuzzy quasi-ideal of S. (Similarly, we can prove for right ideal). □

References

[1] S. Abdullah, M. Aslam, T. A. Khan and M. Naeem, A new type of fuzzy normal subgroup and cosets, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 2012, DOI 10.3233/IFS-2012-0612.

[2] M. Aslam, S. Abdullah and N. Amin, Characterizations of gamma LA-semigroups by generalized fuzzy gamma ideals, Int. Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 11 (2012), 29-50.

[3] A. Bargiela and W. Pedrycz, Granular Computing, An Introduction, in: The Kluwer Inter. Series in Engineering and Computer Science, vol. 717, KluweAcademic Publishers, Boston, MA, ISBN: 1-4020-7273-2, 2003, p. xx+452.

[4] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das, On the definition of a fuzzy subgroup, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 51 (1992) 235 241.

[5] S. K. Bhakat, $(\in \vee q)$-level subset, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 103 (1999) 529 533.

[6] S. K. Bhakat, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy normal, quasinormal and maximal subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 112 (2000) 299 312.

[7] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das, Fuzzy subrings and ideals redefined, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 81 (1996) 383 393.

[8] S. K. Bhakat and P. Das, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 80 (1996) 359 368.

[9] A. H. Clifford and G.B. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Volume II, Ammerican Mathematical Socity, 1967.

[10] B. Davvaz, $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy subnearrings and ideals, Soft Comput. 10 (2006) 206 211.

[11] Y. B. Jun, Generalizations of $(\in, \in \vee q)$-fuzzy subalgebras in BCK/BCI-algebras, Comput. Math. Appl. 58 (2009) 1383 1390.

[12] Y. B. Jun and S. Z. Song, Generalized fuzzy interior ideals in semigroups, Inform. Sci. 176, (2006), 3079 3093.

[13] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 28 (1979) 17 21.

[14] N. Kuroki, On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 5 (1981) 203 215.

[15] O. Kazanci and S. Yamak, Generalized fuzzy bi-ideals of semigroup, Soft Comput. 12 (2008) 1119 1124.

[16] M. Khan and N. Ahmad, Characterization of left almost semigroups by their ideals, 2 (3), (2010), 61 - 73.

[17] M, Khan and M, N. A. Khan, On fuzzy abel Grassmann's groupoids, Advanced in Fuzzy Mathematics, 5(3), (2010), 349-360.

[18] M.A. Kazim, M. Naseeruddin. On almost semigroups. The Alig. Bull. Math., 1972, 2: 1 - 7.

[19] R.A.R. Monzo. On the structure of abel Grassmann unions of groups. International Journal of Algebra., 2010, 4:1261 - 1275.

[20] Q. Mushtaq, M. S. Kamran, On LA-semigroups with weak associative law. Scientific Khyber, 1989, 1: 69 - 71.

[21] Q. Mushtaq, S. M. Yousuf. On LA-semigroups. The Alig. Bull. Math., 1978, 8: 65 - 70.

[22] Q. Mushtaq, S. M. Yousuf. On LA-semigroup defined by a commutative inverse semigroup. Math. Bech., 1988, 40:59 - 62.

[23] Q. Mushtaq, M. Khan. Ideals in left almost semigroups. Proceedings of 4th International Pure Mathematics Conference, 2003, 65 - 77.

[24] V. Murali, Fuzzy points of equivalent fuzzy subsets, Inform. Sci. 158 (2004) 277 288.

[25] X. Ma, J. Zhan and Y. B. Jun, New types of fuzzy ideals of BCI-algebras, Neural Comp Appl., doi(2011): 10.1007/s00521-011-0558-x.

[26] M. Naseeruddin. Some studies in almost semigroups and flocks. Ph.D. thesis. Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India., 1970.

[27] W. Pedrycz and F. Gomide, An Introduction to Fuzzy Sets, in: Analysis and Design, with a Foreword by Lotfi A. Zadeh, Complex Adaptive Syst. A Bradford Book, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, ISBN: 0-262-16171-0, 1998, p. xxiv+465.

[28] P. M. Pu, Y. M. Liu, Fuzzy topology I, neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore Smith convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980) 571 599.

[29] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy subgroups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971) 512 517.

[30] M. Shabir, Y. B. Jun, Y. Nawaz, Characterizations of regular semigroups by (α, β)-fuzzy ideals, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010) 161 175.

[31] M. Shabir, Y. B. Jun and Y. Nawaz, Semigroups characterized by $(\in, \in \vee q_k)$-fuzzy ideals, Comput. Math. Appl. 60 (2010) 1473-1493.

[32] L.A. Zadeh, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338 353.

[33] J. Zhan and Y. Yin, New types of fuzzy ideal of near rings, Neural Comp Appl. doi(2011):10.1007/s00521-011-0570-1.

Department of mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam university, Islamabad, Pakistan
E-mail address: `saleemabdullah81@yahoo.com`

Department of mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam university, Islamabad, Pakistan
E-mail address: `atiquekhan_16@yahoo.com`

Department of mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam university, Islamabad, Pakistan
E-mail address: `draslamqau@yahoo.com`